1	Michael L. Schrag (SBN 185832) Joshua J. Bloomfield (SBN 212172)	
2	Linda P. Lam (SBN 301461)	
2	GIBBS LAW GROUP LLP	
3	505 14th Street, Suite 1110 Oakland, California 94612	
4	Telephone: (510) 350-9700	
5	Facsimile: (510) 350-9701	
	mls@classlawgroup.com jjb@classlawgroup.com	
6	lpl@classlawgroup.com	
7		
	Richard M. Paul III	
8	Ashlea G. Schwarz Laura C. Fellows	
9	PAUL LLP	
10	601 Walnut Street, Suite 300	
	Kansas City, Missouri 64106 Telephone: (816) 984-8100	
11	Facsimile: (816) 984-8101	
12	Rick@PaulLLP.com	
	Ashlea@PaulLLP.com Laura@PaulLLP.com	
13	Edula C T doll Edit . com	
14	Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Class	
15	UNITED STATES DI	STRICT COURT FOR THE
16	MODTHEDN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
17	NORTHERN DIS	IRICI OF CALIFORNIA
	ALICIA HERNANDEZ et al., individually	Case No. 3:18-cv-07354-WHA
18	and on behalf of all others similarly situated	
19	Disingliffs	PLAINTIFFS' REPLY BRIEF IN
20	Plaintiffs,	SUPPORT OF MOTIONS FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF PROPOSED
20	v.	CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT
21		AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES
22	WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.,	AND REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES
23	Defendant.	
دے		Date: August 20, 2020
24		Time: 8 a.m. Dept: Courtroom 12
25		Judge: Hon. William H. Alsup
		7
26		
27		
- 1	I and the second	

INTRODUCTION

Having already addressed in their opening briefs all but one of the final approval factors, Plaintiffs submit this reply brief to address the one remaining factor: the class's reaction to the settlement.

The class's reaction is highly positive. This is evident in three ways: the high level of class engagement in seeking compensation for severe emotional distress; the low number of requests for exclusion; and the lack of objections to the settlement itself or the motion for attorney's fees and costs.

Lastly, the notice plan was effective. To date, the settlement administrator, JND, has likely reached all but four of 510 class members (or, if deceased, their next of kin) eligible for settlement benefits, which is over 99% of the class. JND will continue to search for these remaining four class members.

ARGUMENT

A. Class members were highly engaged when offered a procedurally fair process to avail themselves of compensation for severe emotional distress

As the Court knows, the settlement provides all class members monetary relief for economic harm, and it delivers payment without a claims process. In addition, the settlement provides all class members the opportunity to seek compensation for severe emotional distress, through a simplified claims process administered by a court-appointed special master, Cathy Yanni.

That program is almost complete. 115 out of 510 class members, or 22% of the class, submitted claims for emotional distress. This participation rate reveals a sufficient level of class engagement to infer that the settlement's benefits were appropriately conveyed to the class. *See Couser v. Comenity Bank*, 125 F.Supp.3d 1034, 1044 (S.D. Cal. 2015) (noting a claims rate of 7.7% was "higher than average"); *Keil v. Lopez*, 862 F.3d 685, 697 (8th Cir. 2017) ("a claim rate as low as 3 percent is hardly unusual in consumer class actions and does not suggest unfairness").

Consistent with the framework that this Court preliminarily approved, Yanni carefully reviewed each submission, which (in the main) included narratives from class members, sometimes supplemented with mental health or medical records substantiating the severity of each injury. Based on her comparative analysis of these submissions, Yanni allocated either \$6,700 or \$13,400 to each

claiming class member depending on her judgment of the severity of each class member's emotional injury. Yanni has allocated \$911,200 out of the \$1 million fund, leaving a remainder of \$88,800. (Joint Class Counsel Declaration at ¶ 11.) Yanni has the discretion to allocate some or all of this remainder to class members who ask for reconsideration of her decision. Any money left in this fund after Yanni's reconsideration will be distributed to class members who cashed their economic damages checks based on their proportionate share of the settlement, or if that is not feasible, distributed *cy pres*. Dkt. 269-3 at 3.

On July 16, 2020, JND communicated these preliminary decisions to class members via mail. These individuals have until July 30, 2020, to request reconsideration from Yanni. Yanni will resolve any such contests by August 13, 2020.

B. The low number of exclusions and the lack of objections support final approval

Only five class members asked to be excluded from the settlement. No class member has objected to the settlement itself or the request for attorney's fees and costs.

These numbers are too small to raise any suspicion that a meaningful portion of the class disapproves of the settlement or that the benefits are unfavorable. Quite the opposite, the small number of exclusions and the lack of objections support final approval. *In re Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust Litig.*, No. 14-cv-02058, 2015 WL 9266493, at *7 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 17, 2015) ("Class members' positive reaction to a settlement weighs in favor of settlement approval; the absence of a large number of objections to a proposed class action settlement raises a strong presumption that the terms of a proposed class settlement [] are favorable to the class members.") (internal quotations and citations omitted); *see also Churchill Vill.*, *LLC v. Gen Elec.*, 361 F.3d 566, 577 (9th Cir. 2004) (affirming final approval where "only 45 of the approximately 90,000 notified class members objected to the settlement" and 500 class members opted out).

C. The notice plan was effective

JND effectively carried out the notice plan, ultimately reaching nearly all of the 510 class members eligible for settlement benefits.

JND mailed notice to all class members using first class mail, address correction requested.

(Joint Decl. at ¶ 5.) Fifty-two notices were returned as undeliverable, either because a class member

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

was deceased or contact information was no longer correct. JND initially found updated addresses for 39 of these 52 class members and re-mailed notice to them. Class Counsel and JND worked together to locate addresses for nine of the remaining 13 class members (or next of kin), and re-mailed notice to these nine class members on July 20, 2020. If none of those nine are returned as undeliverable, JND will have reached all but four of the 510 class members, which is over 99% of the class. JND will continue to search for the remaining four missing class members so that these individuals can also receive economic compensation under the settlement if it is finally approved. (*Id.* at ¶ 6-8.) **CONCLUSION** For the reasons stated above, and for those detailed in Plaintiffs' opening briefs, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court grant final approval and Plaintiffs' motion for attorney's fees and reimbursement of expenses. Dated: July 23, 2020 Respectfully submitted, /s/ Michael Schrag GIBBS LAW GROUP LLP Michael L. Schrag (SBN 185832) Joshua J. Bloomfield (SBN 212172) Linda Lam (SBN 301461) 505 14th Street, Suite 1110 Oakland, California 94612 Telephone: (510) 350-9700 Facsimile: (510) 350-9701 mls@classlawgroup.com jjb@classlawgroup.com lpl@classlawgroup.com Richard M. Paul III Ashlea G. Schwarz Laura C. Fellows PAUL LLP 601 Walnut Street, Suite 300 Kansas City, Missouri 64106 Telephone: (816) 984-8100 Facsimile: (816) 984-8101 Rick@PaulLLP.com Ashlea@PaulLLP.com Laura@PaulLLP.com Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Class